PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 8 FEB 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITYCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/011835			International filing date 18.10.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.10.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07D471/08, C11D3/16							
Applicant UNILEVER PLC							
1. Th	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. Th	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. TI	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a.	a. 🖾 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 7 sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	beyo	ts which supersed nd the disclosure plemental Box.	le earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority considulication as filed, as indic	ders contain an amendment that goes ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b.	sequence	e listing and/or tabl	les related thereto. in c	ndicate type and number omputer readable form o 2 of the Administrative I	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental astructions).		
4. Th	1. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion			rd to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of i	nvention				
_	Box No. V	Reasoned stater applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 tions and explanations) with regard to novelty, supporting such statem	inventive step or industrial ent		
	. DOX 110. 11	Certain documer					
	Box No. VII		n the international app				
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of this	report		
11.05.2005				07.02.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer	net Pilmi		
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Fritz, M Telephone No. +31 70 34	0-3024		
				. 5.001.01.01.00. 701 70 34	OCC-4 CONTES WASHINGTON		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011835

_			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 			
	which is ☐ inter ☐ publ	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) national preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	have been t	to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which umished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this riginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>	
	Description,	Pages	
	1, 3-34	as originally filed	
	2	received on 08.06.2005 with letter of 08.06.2005	
	Claims, Num	bers	
	1-15	received on 02.12.2005 with letter of 02.12.2005	
	□ a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
з. С	☐ The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		lescription, pages claims, Nos.	
		Irawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the s	requence listing (specify):	
	Li ally	table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	had not beei	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the all Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	☐ the c	lescription, pages laims, Nos.	
	⊔ tne d □ the s	Irawings, sheets/figs equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :	
	□ any t	able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	* If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "gyporgodod"	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

. Olaimo

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: WO 02/48301 A (UNILEVER PLC; UNILEVER NV; HINDUSTAN LEVER LIMITED) 20 June 2002 (2002-06-20)

D2: WO 00/60045 A (THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY; PERKINS, CHRISTOPHER, MARK) 12 October 2000 (2000-10-12)

The present application relates to a bleaching composition comprising a compound (I) as a free ligand or coordinated to a transition metal (claims 1-15).

The ligands (I) can be distinguished from those disclosed in D1 and D2 insofar, as at least one of R1 and R2 is a non-aromatic hydrocarbon group which is a C8-22 alkyl chain.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-15 according to the present case is novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

As closest prior art can be regarded the ligand N2Py2 according to D1 (p. 33, table) which is also known from ex. 1 of D2 as well as the metal complex according to ex. 2 of D2.

The problem of the present application was to provide further ligands or complexes thereof useful as catalysts for catalytically bleaching substrates in an environment substantially devoid of peroxyl species.

This problem has been solved, as can be seen in the description, wherein several representatives of complexes of (I) (R1, R2 being a C8-C22 alkyl group) were tested in comparison with representatives of complexes being within the scope as defined in D1-D2, i.e. bispidine ligands with R1, R2 designating a C1-C4 alkyl group.

It has been demonstrated by the applicant in his letter dated June 08 2005 that the bleaching compositions according to the present case are unexpectedly superior to those of the prior art.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/011835

By consequence those bleaching compositions which display these superior activities cannot be considered obvious for the skilled man.

The following is noted in this respect:

The Applicant is entitled to claim all obvious modifications of what was described (cf. Guidelines C-III, 6.2); alternative variations have to be supported by a certain number of examples (s. Guidelines C-II, 4.9); in this case the breadth of the main claim represents a reasonable generalisation of what has been exemplified, so that it can be assumed that every compound falling within its scope actually provides a solution to the problem underlying the invention.

Non-limiting terms like "optionally substituted" (not followed by a list of specific substituents) as used in the claims of the present application are, however, speculative in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT: They include a great variety of structural possibilities not yet explored by the applicant, the effect of which cannot be foreseen having regard to the problem underlying the present invention.

As it was not substantiated that <u>all</u> claimed compounds are an non-obvious solution to the problem underlying the application (cf. Article 33(3) PCT in conjunction with Articles 5 and 6 PCT), an inventive step cannot be acknowledged for the subject-matter of claims 1-15.

Further objections:

It is noted that the search and examination of the compounds (I) and complexes thereof was carried out for those representatives thereof in which at least one of R1, R2 is C8-C22 alkyl, this term designating a straight or branched chain comprising 8-22 C-atoms, as the skilled reader would inevitably understand it.

The extended definition as found in the description (p. 13, line 9 - p. 14, line 18) is not acceptable and should - in an eventual national phase - be corrected in order to fulfil the requirements of Artice 6 PCT.